G.R.S. IX—9

Previous references.
Mysore 152-21-8

Later references.

1922. Mysore

File No. 69-22

Serial Nos. 18, 20, 21, 29, 31-33, 35 66 81 199

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos.

Part B for March 24

SUBJECT

Extension of the provision of the ~~Mysore~~ Mysore Court-fee Regulation to Mysore Civil Courts

99

To the Compiler, Mysore Gazette

No L 6826 dated 28th Feb '24

Notification that the provisions of the Mysore Court Fees Regulation no VII of 1906 as amended by Regulation V of '08 have been made applicable to Mysore Civil Courts which had been exempted from the operation of these provisions

RC. 6-3-24

G. R. S. 11)

1922.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Jul)

NOTES.

File No.

File Heading.

Extension of the provisions of the Jul Regn to Minor Municipal Towns

From The Dy Comr, Kolar Dist

No. Jul 80 Pt 22 Dated 26-9-1922 Received 28-9-1922

Diary No. C 4707	Enclos	Spare Copies.	Serial No.

Brief Abstract of Letter.

Subts for orders the resolution of the Srinivaspur Jul Cl requesting that the following sections of this Jul Regn may be made applicable to the Jul Cl Secs 68, Secs 92, Notes, Jul 1162

NOTES AND ORDERS

Secy ~~requested~~ The reason given for extending the said provisions to the Srinivaspur Municipality seems to be removal of the present difficulty as regards Sanitation & collection of duties. The Dy Comr has

Notes—First Sheet.

G R S III 2)

General and Revenue Secretariat | Mel File No of 19

Serial No

has not given his opinion as to whether it is necessary to comply with the request of the Mel CL. The following observations are ~~concerned~~ Submitted for consideration and orders.

(I) Secs 75 & 81 These relate to Octroi & Tolls. The Mel CL is competent to frame bye laws under Sec 48(11) These bye laws would be quite ample for administering the Collection of Octroi. It does not appear to be necessary to apply these Secs to the Mel CL.

Rule 31 of proceedings No R 6219 Mel 81-G 6 Dy 25th Nov 1920 G

(II) Sec 92 relates to setting back projecting buildings. So far as the Minor Mel CL are concerned the ~~same~~ provisions contained in this section are unnecessary. The application of this Sec will necessitate the application of Sec 119 also. This is a matter to be Regulated by rules to be framed by Govt under Sec 114 (3)

(III) Sec 120(2) relates to 'remedy on non Compliance with directions given with

Note—Contn sheet.

G R S III 2)

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No 1707 of 19

Serial No

s 34 & 48
yours notn no R6319
dtd 81-19 & dy 25th Nov 1920
CJ

with regard to dangerous, Stagnant or unsanitary Sources of Water Supply. But the recovery of the amount spent by the MCC shall be not in accordance with Ch VIII which does not apply to Minor Mcl Cls but according to Rules for the recovery of taxes

(iv) The provision of Sec 176 which relates to dangerous Quarrying may be simplified & incorporated in rules framed under Sec 187(3)

(v) Sec 162 states that distress is lawful though defective in form This may be applied

HK
23 10 1922

2 When Minor Municipal Councils are in general excepted from the operation of certain sections of the Regulation on general grounds of policy, it appears undesirable to extend some of those Sections to particular Municipal Council If approved, a reply will be sent accordingly

Dep Secy)

Secy 24/10

NOTE—Contn. sheet

170 / 597-23

G R. 8 III 2)

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No 69 of 1922

Serial No	
2609 / 27 10-22	Secretariat 3 H.J. 27/10/22
	4 Impression of this Exception may be circulated HDW 6/11 4/11/22
	5 Draft please DCG 7/11/22
	6 Draft etc is put up HK 8/11/22
	7 Issue DCG 7/11/22
22	To the D.C.J. Dists vide 3520-47 & 13/11/22 Calls for their opinion in the matter of the Petn of
597-23 In B.R.	From The Deputy Comm. Kadur No 465 & 7/12/22 with reference to above, states that the requirements of the Council had been met by framing a set of suitable rules under Section 187(3) of the Regulation
29.	From the Deputy Comm. No 697 of 6/12/22 States that Section 45 & 81 of the

NOTE—Contn sheet

Serial No.	
	may be extended to all the Municipal Councils and that Sections 92, 120(2), 126 & 162 need not be made applicable to them
31	From The Deputy Comm Bangalore No dtd 7 2 9 & 9 12 22 — States that only such of the ML Cls as are found competent may be allowed to exercise the powers conferred by the Sections mentioned and for the rest a set of simple rules framed under Sec 187(3) of the Regulation may be made
32	From The Deputy Comm Chk No dtd 41 & 11 12 22 — States that a set of simple rules may be framed on Sections 75 & 81 for the guidance of the Cls and that Sections 92, 120(2) 126 & 162 may be extended to them
33	From The Dy Commr Ellysore No dtd 95 & 14 12 22 — States that the requirements of the Sections may be met by a set of simple rules framed under S 187(3).

G. R. S. III-9]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | pll File No. 69 of 1922

Serial No.	
35	From The D-C Kolar M 1180 & 13 / 23 States that the sections may be extended to the clo and that he would prefer the alternative of a set of simple rules framed under Section 187(3) of the Regulation
66	From The D-C Hassan No 253 & 24 5 23 States that almost all the Councils in his Dn are in favour of the extension of the sections mentioned except that of Sakaleshpur & also states that ~~the~~ extension of 78-81 may be deferred
81	From The D-C Shimoga No C 55/31 / 23 States that a set of simple rules may be framed under Sections 45 to 81 and with regard to Sections 92 and 120(2) the Sanitary Commr may be requested to frame rules for adoption by the Councils and the Chief Inspector of Mines may be requested to frame rules under S 126. 8) From the above opinions of the Deputy Commrs, it may be seen that all of them are unanimous in

Norm—Contn. sheet.

9)

Serial No

8 The opinions are summarised here under

Dy Comrs of Bijapur Hadagali, Tumkur Shimoga & Mysore are of opinion that a Set of rules under Sec 18 (3) would serve the purpose The Dy Comr of the Kolar prefers the framing of rules but adds that till rules are framed the fees in question may be extended to all the Minor MLCs The Dy Comr of Mysore Bangalore & Kolar state that such of the Minor MLCs as are found competent may be allowed to exercise the powers prescribed in Sec 18 in question for the rest rules under Sec 18 (3) and the Dy Comr of Chitaldrug says that fees 92, 122 & 142 which are matters relating to general nature may be extended generally to all the Minor Municipal Councils The Dy Comr of Kanara does not favour extending the fees mentioned in Sec 18 to all Minor MLCs the reasons mentioned by him being that the fees are not

Note—Contn. sheet.

59723 (8)

G. R. S. III 2]

General and Revenue Secretariat | ML File No 66 of 1922

Serial No

ce for the Guidance of the Mil & ans public Officers, - that the rules cannot take the place of the provisions of the Regn proposed to be extended & experience shows there is no benefit in the very [illegible] Mode of Arrangement of the rules

9 The provisions of the Secs in question are of a somewhat complicated nature All the minor Mil Cs, with their limited establishment cannot be expected to master them thoroughly Besides, these minor Mil Cs which have a very limited population and the exclusion of the Secs in question would be felt a hardship by the citizens

10 The objection raised by the Govt, Madras does not appear to deserve consideration

[illegible]

23/12/23 M Regn Para 4

2 The Secretary

First Member

26/12/23

Legal

26/12

20/12

NOTE—Con n. sheet.

597 9

G. R. S. II. 2.

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No | of 19

Serial No

L901

Section 75 Cannot apply as it appears to
Also Section 79 but Cannot be made applicable
to

We do not see what objection there
is for the extension of the rules 75 to 80 to
Municipal Councils the Municipal
Councils Levy octroi, and they have no power
to recover the rates imposed against
defaulters or keep the property attached.
If these sections are extended they will have
such power.

15 Section 90 gives the V, which does not
appear to Municipal Councils. This section may
not be extended to Municipal Councils

16 Section 120 deals with sanitary powers which
every Municipal Council should possess the rules
are simple enough & they Cannot be made
simpler The dispensing of about Chapter
VIII - Cannot this dispensing be got over - why
not an extend Chapter VIII to Municipalities
as well?

17 Section 126 need not be made applicable
as there is at present no necessity for the same
but if & when the necessity arises
by Municipal Councils under the Act

Note - Contn sheet

Ch
5/1

R. S III 2]

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No | of 19

Serial No

16 Sections 75 to 79 are simple enough and need extension as necessary for proper enforcement of return rules and prompt collection

Section 80 is complicated and may lead to abuses Powers for recovery of monies due under this Section action will have to be taken under chapter VIII.

17 Section 90 is already applicable to Municipal councils and the Member perhaps refers to Section 92 — as being ~~inapplicable~~ unsuitable for extension to Minor Municipalities

18 Section 120 relates to dangerous Stagnant or insanitary Sources of water supply The provision of pure water Supply being one of the main functions of the Municipal councils, recovery of cost for defaults may not be necessary and the last part of Section 120 only may perhaps be extended

19 District municipalities are issued under rule 36 of the Municipal rules

NOTE—Contn sheet.

897 (17)

B S III 2]

General and Revenue Secretariat | Mil File No 664 of 1922

Serial No

28) Sections 75 & 79 may be extended. 29) Section 80 may not be extended as there will be no necessity for the same

30) Section 91 already made applicable by notification

31) Sec 120 cl(1) may be made applicable

32) Submitted with reference to para 11 but not cl(2)

6538/1922 33) 1st member 8/4/17 16/2

34) I also put up draft necessary Sd 16/2 19/2

35) Sd 17/2

36) Sd 19/2

37) Mr. B S R)

Subd write a draft Note for approval & write reference to the order of the 1st member of Council in para 3 of the notes

Sd 27/2/24

cc/Rs 25 22/2 23/2 done very

25

Norm—Contn. absent

89 / 131

G R. S III 21

General and Revenue Secretariat

File No. 69 of 1922

Serial No	
99	To The Compiler Mysore No L 68 26 97 28 2-24 Notifies that the provisions of the Mysore Jail Regulation no VII of 1900 as amended by Regulation V of 1918 have been made applicable to the minors which had been exempted from the operation of these provisions

GENERAL & REVENUE 14 MAR

P 3

4/3

Move—Contin sheet

Office Note

On the request of the Srinivasapur minor Municipal Council in the Kolar District that the marginally noted sections of the Municipal Regulation may be extended to them Government have in their letter No:L 3540-47 dated 13th November 1933 called for opinions as to whether it is necessary to extend the sections to all minor municipal councils or to particular minor municipal councils and whether the requirements of these councils will not be met by a set of simple rules framed under section 187 (3) of the Municipal Regulation The Amildars and Presidents of Municipal Councils who were asked to express their opinion in the matter have submitted their reports which may be summarised as follows:-

Sections 75 to 81, 92, 170 (2), 176 and 182

1	Channagiri	All the sections referred to above may be extended
2	Shikarpur	
3	Sorab	
4	Kallurkatte	
5	Tirthahalli	
6	Kumsi	
7	Shimoga Amildar (Bhadravati Municipal President)	All the sections referred to above except 80 and 81 may be extended
8	Honnali Amildar	(Not received)

2 The Assistant Commissioner, Sagar Sub Division who was also consulted in the matter as President of the Sagar Municipality has stated in his letter No D² Dis 225/32 dated 27th May 1933 that these provisions are too elaborate for all minor Municipal Councils and that as suggested in the Revenue Secretary's letter, the purpose in view will be met by framing a set of simple rules under section 187 (3) of the Municipal Regulation etc ,

3 In letter No:L 4645/Ml 155-31-2 dated the 15-9-1933, Revenue Secretary to Government has asked the Sanitary Inspector Commissioner to frame a set of rules and submit them for approval of Government in place of the exempted sections relating to public health, prevention of dangerous diseases and other Sanitary matters In the Revenue Secretary's letter No L 5645/Ml 55-31-123, dated 30-3-1933 addressed to the Sanitary Commissioner

Commissioner on the same subject framing of rules for the guidance of minor Municipal Regulation has again been urged.

4. In reply to the Sanitary Commissioner's letter dated 4-4-1922 addressed to this office calling for opinion as to why all sections of the Municipal Regulation should not be made applicable to the minor municipal councils the following letter No:D°.Dis.107/ dated 31-8-1922 was sent by Mr G Aravamudiengar.

" the minor municipal councils have advisedly been exempted from the operation of the elaborate provisions made for the sanitation of the town municipalities. In most instances these minor municipalities are nothing better than large villages and I do not think it will be in the interest of either municipal councils or the residents of the localities to impose upon them the rigour of these provisions. I do not agree with you in thinking that there will be no harm in making all the sections applicable leaving the municipalities to pick and choose which to apply and which to leave dormant. Such a practice would at once be demoralizing and unsettling.

As uniformity in the rules is a desideratum a set of rules on the lines of the Village Sanitation Rules may be framed and circulated for the adoption of the Councils".

~~Submitted for Orders~~

Order.

It is observed that provisions of sections 78 to 91 relate to revenue matters and as such a set of simple rules suitable to the requirements of the several minor municipalities may be framed by the Government and forwarded for adoption by those municipalities more or less the conditions of the minor municipalities throughout the State are the same and a set of rules so framed will in my opinion meet the local requirements After [illegible] some experi-

ence

experience the rules may be modified if any practical difficulties are found in their application The Sanitary Commissioner will have to frame rules under sections 92 and 120 (2) as they relate to Sanitary matters Section 162 is a section in which no bye-laws are necessary and as it is intended for protecting the Municipal servants for exercising their powers under the regulation

Sd/- A K Syed Taj Peeran

Deputy Commissioner,

Shimoga District

" C o p y "

A K Syed Tajpeeran

Deputy Commissioner,

Shimoga District

SGR/

18718

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Kolar District

No. Dated Kolar the 26th September 1922

L1707-22

From

S. Hiriannaiya, Esquire M A, B L,
Deputy Commissioner, Kolar District

REV SECT, SEP 1922

M & 69-22 — 18

T E Jeyaram Iyer, Esquire B A B L
Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Revenue Department, Bangalore

S i r

Adverting to para 2 of Government Notification No. R 738/ M.152-22/3 dated the 14th June 1922 enumerating the sections of the Municipal Regulation from the operation of which the minor Municipal Councils are excepted, I have the honour to state that the Srinivaspur Minor Municipal Council to whom a printed copy of the above Notification was sent, have resolved that out of the sections from the operation of which it is excepted sec 92 120 (2), 126 162-- and from 75 to 81 of the Regulation may be reintroduced since they are likely to remove the present difficulty as regards Sanitation and also collection of Octroi

I therefore request that the Government may be pleased to pass such orders as they deem fit in the matter

I have the honour to be,
S i r,
Your most obedient servant,

Navaneeka
Treasury Assistant Commissioner
For Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District
(Absent from Station)

From

The Deputy Commissioner,
Kolar District.

To

The Secy to the Government
of Mysore, Rev Department,
Bangalore.

Dated Kolar the 26-9-1922.

Despatched. 26-9-22

Received.

General No ML 80/21-22
No.

Enclosure No.

SUBJECT.

Selecting orders in the matter of introducing the provisions of Sections 92, 120(2), 126 and 75(8) of the Municipal Regulation to Municipal

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT

Diary No. of letter replied to 1702

General and Revenue Secretariat

(LSG)

, the 191

Date of issue 3/11/32

Signed by

Section Jud.
File No. 69/32
Serial No.

No. L 38 dt. 2-47

To The Collector of

Sir

With a view to remove the present difficulty experienced by them in the matter of collection of Cesses and prevention of Nuisances, the Srivilliputtur Municipal Council in the Madura District, requested that the Marginally noted Sections of the Tamil Nadu

over

5 to 81, 92, 120(2), 126 & 11.2

may be extended to them.

I am directed to request you to be so good as to report whether in your opinion the sections of the Act it is necessary to extend the sections in question to all Minor Municipal Councils generally or to particular Municipal Councils, and whether any of the requirements of those Councils will not be met by taking a set of simple rules framed under Sec 187(3) of the Municipal Regulations.

I am to request that your reply may be sent so as to reach the Secretariat not later than the 10th Dec 1922

I have the

Sec to Govt Rev Dept

List of enclosures:

Copied by

Examined by

2355

W D 504—GPS—1 000—26-8-22

D. Dr. No. 465/22-23 OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
KADUR DISTRICT

Enc — *Dated Chikmagalur*, 7th December 192 2

From

M G KRISHNASWAMI RAO, Esq , B A ,
Offg *Deputy Commissioner*
Kadur District

REV SEC DEC 1922

To

T E JIYARAM IYLR, Esq , B A , B L
Secretary to the Government of Mysore
Revenue Department, *Bangalore*

Sir,

With reference to your letter No L 3540-47/M1 69-22-22 dated the 13th November 1922 calling for my

75 to 81, 92, 120(2), 126 and 162

opinion regarding the extension of the provisions of the marginally noted sections of the Municipal Regulation to the Minor Municipal Councils generally, I have the honour to state that the requirements of these Councils would be met by framing a set of simple rules under section 187(3) of the Regulation

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Treasury Assistant Commissioner
for Offg Deputy Commissioner
Kadur District (Absent from Station)

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,

Tumkur District,

Dated Tumkur, the 6th December 19 2

From

Md Zahiruddin Mecci, Esquire, B A ,

Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District

T u m k u r

To

Ml 69-22-29

T E Jayarama Aiyar, Esquire, B A., B L ,

Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja

of Mysore Revenue Department, B a n g a l o r e.

S i r,

With reference to your letter No L 3540-47/Ml 69-22-22 dated the 13th November 1922 requesting to furnish my opinion regarding the extension of some of the sections of the Municipal Regulation to all minor municipal councils, I have the honour to state as follows -

1. Government have in their order No R 6319/Ml 81-19-6 dated the 25th November 1920 directed the imposition of Octroi on animals and goods brought within the octroi limits of minor municipalities for consumption or use therein and in their order No L 5241-8/Ml 55-21-100 dated the 16th March 1921 authorised the minor Municipal Councils to levy a toll on vehicles and animals under section 59(iii) of the Regulation

As the imposition of the said taxes in minor municipalities is in confirmity with what obtains in the town municipalities, section 75 to 81 of the Municipal Regulation may, I think, be extended to all minor municipal councils without exception and they be authorised to frame Rules and bye-laws under section 46 and 48 of the Regulation as in the case of the Town Municipal Councils

2 Regarding the application of sections 92, 130(2), 128 and 222 162, I am of opinion that they need not be made applicable to the minor municipal councils as they happen to be small towns with a very limited population and the extension of the sections would be felt hardship therein

I have the honour to be,

S i r,

your most obedient servant

64372 M.I. F.S.

1922-23 L 2922-V

From

The Deputy Commissioner,

Tumkur District

To

The Revenue Secretary to the

Government of Mysore, BANGA.

Dated the 5th December 1922

Despatched

Received 8 DEC 1922

General No. D 2 Dis 697 and

No. 2223

Enclosure No

Furnishing opinion regarding the extension of some of the sections of the Municipal Regulation to all the minor municipal councils

****

246 ..

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District,
Dated Bangalore, 9th December 1922

22 Di 729 of 22-23

From

B Ramaswamaiya, Esq , B A , B L ,
Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District

L 2967-11

REV SEC
L CM
DEC 1922

Ml 69-21-31

To

T E Jayarama Iyer, Esq , B A , B L ,
Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore, Revenue Department

Sir,

With reference to your letter No L 3540-47/Ml 69-22-22 dated 13th November 1922, re the extension of a few more sections to the minor Municipal Councils in the matter of collection of Octroi and prevention of nuisance, I have the honor to state that the sections now expected are of a somewhat complicated nature, and all the minor Municipal Councils cannot be expected to be able to enforce them properly Only such of them as are found competent may be allowed to exercise the powers specified in those sections For the rest, a set of simple rules framed under section 187(3) of the Municipal Regulation, will, it seems to me, suffice

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

B Ramaswamaiya

Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District

A. K. Syed Taj Pasran Esquire, B A.,
Deputy Commissioner,
Chitaldrug District.

M269-22-32

To
T.R.Jayaram Iyer Esq , B.A.,B.L ,
Secretary to Government,
Revenue Department,
Bangalore

Dated 11th December 1922
Despatched
Received 12 DEC 1922
General No 3 & 05 41 M 22-23
No.
Enclosure No

Sir,

In reply to your letter No.L. 3540-47/M1 29-23-22 dated 17-11-22 calling for my opinion in the matter of extending certain Sections of the ---- Municipal Regulation to all the Minor Municipal Councils generally or otherwise, I have the honor to state that sections 75 to 91 referred to in your letter under reply deal with the collection of octroi and Toll The Govern have recently authorised the Minor Municipal Councils to levy tolls on Vehicals and animals under section 32 of the Municipal Regulation (Vide G.O No L.3241-43/M1 30-21-100 dated 1st March 1922) in addition to octroi or other taxes already ordered to be levi in these Minor Municipalities

The levy of octroi has already introduced in most of the Minor palities suitable Byelaws having framed for the purpose and steps

introduce Toll as ordered by Govt

In majority of the Minor Municipalities, no special establishment has been maintained for the collection of the said taxes, but the work is entrusted to Low paid Mukaddy and as such the provisions of the Municipal Regulation cannot be expected to be strictly enforced in these Minor Municipalities.

The penalty prescribed in the Regulation for the evasion of octroi duty appears to be high for being enforced in the Minor Municipalities and lastly Sections 80 and 81 deal with the keeping of current accounts & with firm or public Body, which are beyond the operations of the Minor Municipal Councils at present, as they are still in their initial stage

Under these circumstances, it is better that a set of simple rules may be framed on these sections for the guidance of all the Minor Municipal Councils generally, as is done in the matter of levying House and other taxes

The remaining sections Viz., 92,120(2),126 and 167 deal with the ordinary and important matter connected with the general Administration of the Municipal Council and therefore these sections may with advantage be extended generally to all the Minor Municipal Councils, as it is too early to make any distinction in these Councils.

I have the honor to be,

S I R,

Your most obedient servant,

Treasury Assistant Commissioner,
For Deputy Commissioner,
(absent from station)

Office of the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District,

Dated, Mysore, the 13th December 1922.

F. F. Bowring, Esq., J.P.,
Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District,
Mysore.

To

E. Jayarama Iyer, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore, Revenue Department,
Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No L 3540-47/ 1 [illegible] dated 3th November 1922 regarding the extension or otherwise of the provisions of the marginally noted Municipal Sections to the Minor Municipal Councils, I have the honour to state that -

Sections 75 to 81, 92, 120(2), 126 and 162 — the requirement of these Councils may be met by a set of simple rules framed under Section 187(3) of the Municipal Regulation. It cannot be hoped that the Minor Municipal Councils with their limited establishment will be able to satisfactorily work out the provisions of the Sections in question.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.

B.S S

From The Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District,
M y s o r e.

To The Secretary to the Governm
of H. H. the Maharaja of Mys
Revenue Department. Bangal

Dated 18th December 1922.
Despatched 18/25 —do—
Received
General No. Misc 95-18
No. 22-23
Enclosure No.

S u b j e c t.

Submitting a report re. the
tension or other wise of the pr
sions of certain Municipal Secti
to the Minor Municipal Councils

REF
JAN 1923

26136

No. CV

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Kolar District
Dated Kolar the 15th January 1923

REVENUE 3540 LOCAL BRA

From

S Hiriannaiya, Esquire, A.M.L.,
Deputy Commissioner Kolar District

To

Mis 69 22-35

T E Jeyaraman Aiyar Esquire A.M.L.,
Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore at Lalbagh Bangalore

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. 354-47 dated 69-22-22 dated the 15th November 1922 calling for my opinion in the matter of extending the provisions of sections 78 to 81, 92, 120 (2) 126, and 162 of the Mysore Municipal Regulation to all Minor Municipal Councils generally, I have the honour to state that the sections may be extended to all Minor Municipal Councils

2 I would however prefer the alternative of a simple set of rules under section 187 (3) of the Municipal Regulation that till such rules are framed the sections referred to above may be extended to all Minor Municipal Councils

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Deputy Commissioner,
Kolar District

From

The Deputy Commissioner,
Kolar District

To

The Secy to the Government
of Mysore, Revenue Departme:
Bangalore

Dated Kolar the 13-1-1923.

Despatched 17-1-23

Received.

General No. _____ ML 8072-22-23
No.

Enclosure No.

Subject.

Extending the provisions of Sections
75 to 81, 92, 120(2), 126 and 162 of the
Mysore Municipal Regulation to the
Minor Municipal Councils

40614 Office of the Deputy Commissioner,

as an District,

No. 203-2 Encl Order & file Dated Hassan, the 23rd May 1923.

From

K Mylar Rao, Esq., B A , B L ,

Deputy Commissioner, Hassan District

To

T E Jeyaram, Iyer, Esq., B A , B L , ML69-22-66

Secretary to the Government of His Highness

the Maharaja of Mysore, R venue Department,

B a n g a l o r e

5 MAY 1923

Sir,

With reference to your letter No L 3540-47/M L 69-22-22 dated the 13th November 1922 calling for opinion as to whether the provisions of Sections 75 to 81, 92, 120(2), 126 and 162 of the Municipal Regulation may be extended to the minor Municipalities generally or to particular Municipalities and whether the requirements of these Municipal Councils will not be met by a set or rules framed under section 187 (3) of the Municipal Regulation, ~~So far as I~~ I have the honor to state that it is absolutely necessary that the Municipal Councils should have sufficient powe s to enforce the payment of octroi and to regualte the construction of buildings etc and to protect the health and safety of the public and it seems to me that the powers can be conferred best by e tending the provisions of Sections 75 to 81, 92, 120(2),126, and 16° of the Municipal Re ulation generally to all the Minor Municipal Councils in the State

2 The Rules which may be framed under Section 187 (3) of the Municipal Regulation are for the guidance of the Council and public officers and it seems to me that they cannot take the place of the provisions of the Regulation proposed to be extended, expecially as there is no power for the levy of a penalty for the infringement of those rules.

3. The Presidents of the Minor Municipal Councils in this District, whose opinions also were called for, have reported with the resolutions of the respective Municipal Councils in the matter, except those of Arsikere, Harnahalli and Belur All of them are in favour of the extension of the provisions of the Regulation but the Municipal Council of Saklespur is of opinion that the extension of the provisions of section 81 may be deferred Their reports are forwarded herewith for information

4 I am addressing the Municipal Councils of Arsikere, Harnahalli and Belur in the matter again and shall furnish you with their views as soon as they are received

I have the honor to be,

S i r,

Your most obedient servant,

P Sangamma Setty

Treasury Assistant Commissioner,
For Deputy Commissioner,
(Absent from Head Quarters,)
Hassan District.

Letter No.La/Misc-37 dated 20th November 1929 from the Deputy Secretary to Government, to the Deputy Commissioner, Kannur District.

With a view to resolve the present difficulty experienced by them in the matter of collection of Cotton and prevention of malpractices, the Local-Purpose Plant Committee formed in the Kannur Municipality requests that the Commercially noted condition of the Animal Regulation may be removed to Vi Sa Ni. No 99 1929(2).Agri and Leg. I, therefore, I am directed to request you to be so kind as to ascertain whether in your opinion it is necessary to amend the Municipal notification in question as all other Municipal Councils generally or to particular Municipal Councils and whether the requirements of these amendments will not be taken care by a few simple rules framed under Section 276(1)(a) of the Municipal Notification.

I am to request that the matter may be expedited as much as possible and the report may reach this office before the 10th December 1929.

Orders of the Deputy Secretary, Kannur District, dated 2nd December 1929 from Kannur, the 2nd November 1929 forwarded to the President of Municipal Council dated 2nd

1922 ಇಸವಿ ಫಿರ್ಯಾದಿಯವರು, ಈ ಕಾಲೇಜು ಪ್ರಾರಂಭವಾದಲ್ಲಿಂದ ೧೯೨೭ನೇ
ಸಾಲಿನ ಕಡೆಯವರೆಗೂ ಪ್ರಿನ್ಸಿಪಾಲರಾಗಿ ಕೆಲಸ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದು ಸದರಿ ಕಾಲೇಜಿನ ಕಟ್ಟಡ
ಕಟ್ಟಿಸಿದವರು —

Present

1 Mr K A Pathmanabhayya BA LLB (President)

Members

1 Mr Thirumalayyanayya
2 Kyasanagowda
3 Kasalanda
4 Appaswamigowda
5 Siddaramappa
6 A C Mangalandappa

Absent

1 Mr Ahamad Pacha Sahib (Vice President)

Absent

1 Mr Narasimha Swamy
2 Jumah Khan Sahib
3 A P W Sub-Registrar
4 Sub-assistant Surgeon

ಕಟ್ಟಿಸಿದ್ದಕ್ಕಾಗಿ ಕೃತಜ್ಞತಾ ಸೂಚಕವಾಗಿ ಒಂದು ಬೆಳ್ಳಿ ಕಿರೀಟವನ್ನು ಮಾಡಿಸಿ ಅವರಿಗೆ ಕೊಡುವುದೆಂದು ಈ ಕಾಲೇಜಿನ ಕೌನ್ಸಿಲ್ ಸಭೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ತೀರ್ಮಾನವಾಗಿತ್ತು

ಈ ಸಭೆಯ ನಡವಳಿಕೆಗಳನ್ನು ಪುಸ್ತಕದಲ್ಲಿ ಬರೆಯಲಿಕ್ಕೆ ಸೆಕ್ರೆಟರಿಯವರಿಗೆ ಹೇಳಿದ್ದು ಅವರು ಬರೆದಿಟ್ಟುಕೊಂಡರು

Sd/ K A Pathmanabhayya
Vice President

Copy

K A Pathmanabhayya
President

1922 [illegible]

[illegible]

Absent

Present

Mr K A Padmanabhayya B A LL B (President)

1 G Ahammad Pasha Saheb (Vice President)

Members

Mr [illegible]nayya
Byregowda
Kesegowda
Appannagowda
Siddarampa Setty
A C Nanjundappa Setty

Absent

1 Mr Kasim Saheb
2 Jamaluddin Saheb
3 A P S Sub Overseer
4 Sub assistant Surgeon

[illegible] 120 (2), 126 162 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] -15 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

Sd/ K A Padmanabhayya
President
1/12/22

Copy

[illegible]
President

Submitted

169
2.12.22

A Copy of the proceedings of the municipal Council meeting on 1st Sect to discuss whether 79 of 81 92, 120(2) 126 162 sections under the Tamil Nadu Regulation may be extended to all the municipalities so to pass municipalities. Therefore submitted for your kind perusal

2.12.22 Procedure

HAS A L
Dated 3-12-22
mail No 13957
C No
Section L-S G C-

Sec

1 Sri B Ananthakamangalam
2 K Karunakara
3 G S Rattu Rao
4 K R Karagamachary
5 Chikkamallegowda
6 M Ramanna
7 K Kotabhimanga
8 Mahadeva
9 The Sub-Assistant Surgeon

1 Sri M Venkatramachar B A Pleader
2 K Kagalvanga
3 M Subbarao
4 B Venkata Ramanga
5 P Bheemachar
6 The A P W Sub-Overseer
7 K R Ammiah (deceased)

1 to 21 x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x

(22) Sri B Ananthakamangalam Panchayat Board Regulation
Rules 81, 92, 120(2) 126 and 192 section

[illegible]

Letter No.L.3010-47 dated 15th November 1943 from the Revenue Secretary to Government, to the Deputy Commissioner, Kheri Lakhirpur.

With a view to remove the present difficulty experienced by them in the matter of collection of interest and prevention of malpractices, the Bank requested [illegible] to issue necessary instructions that the finally noted sections of the Municipal Regulation may be corrected as 94 to 94, 99 100(D),102 and 103. I therefore, I am directed to request you to [illegible] of which in your opinion it is necessary to amend the aforesaid sections in addition to all these municipal generally or to particular Municipal Committee and thereby the rate of these Councils will with the said letter a set of simple as [illegible] under section 107-107 of the Municipal Regulation.

I am to request [illegible] may be sent to us so as [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] Municipal Regulation, dated, dismiss the [illegible] of Municipal Committee [illegible]

[illegible]
146

[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]

[illegible] 1612 [illegible]
253-22/46 [illegible]
[illegible]

[illegible]

75 [illegible]

[illegible]

ಹಾಸನ ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು ಕಚೇರಿಯಿಂದ 18-1-230
ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ R.C 253-22 ನೇ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದ್ದ

I.No
183

ಪತ್ರಿಕಾ ಪ್ರಕಟಣೆ

ಕನ್ನಡ ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರಕಟವಾದ ಪ್ರಾಣಿಗಳ ಹಾವಳಿ
ಕುರಿತ ವರದಿಗಳನ್ನು ಗಮನಿಸಿ, ಬೆಳೆ ನಷ್ಟ ಪರಿಹಾರ
ಪಡೆಯಲು ಅರ್ಜಿ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಲು ಕೆಳಕಂಡ ವಿವರಗಳನ್ನು
ಅಲ್ಲಿಸಲು ತಿಳಿಸಿದೆ.

ತಹಸೀಲ್ದಾರ್ ಹಾಸನ

ಸಹಿ/- 12123

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು

Y CO MUCID R'S OFFICE
HASSAN DI TRICT
18-2-23
No 21606
253-22 Hc
n H.R.K
Ju

No. [illegible]/H.C.
2 | 23

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Hassan District,
Hassan, the 7th December 1922
3

Dear Sir,

Please see this office P.O.C No. [illegible]/H.C. dated 7th with Secretary Local Self Government Department letter dated 10th December 1922, calling for the opinion of the Municipal Councils in the matter of extending the operations of sections 75 to 82, 84(-), 111(A) and 120 of the Municipal Jurisdiction to the Inner Municipalities.

2. Your remarks are corrected in this office by the end of November but it is to be very much regretted that it has not yet been received till the reply to the same is sent. This is not from the office.

3. Kindly see that your remarks is sent up [illegible] forthwith.

Yours sincerely,
P. Sanjeevaraya Setty

To

The President,
Municipal Council,
Sravana Belagola

7 | 12 | 22
Mambahalli

Resolution — [illegible]
27 12 22 [illegible] Sd K.P. Rajamahalingaya

Reference — Weekly Communication
in connection with R O C 253/22 dt 24th November 22

To No 210 dtd 23 Jan 24

Memo

The extract of the resolution of the Salem Municipal Council held on the 26th December 1922 [illegible] 6-monthly meeting of the Council 6-extending the powers of Sections (75 to 81, 92 120(2) 126 & 162) of the Municipal Regulation, as herewith forwarded for the necessary [illegible]

For Chief Secretary

26-12-22

COMMISSIONER'S OFFICE
HASSAN DISTRICT
Rd 28-12-22
No 15811

253 M
Hc

255-M Hc
on R S file

Proceedings of the meeting of the Salem Municipal Council held on the 25th & 26th December 1922

Present — Rao B Narayanaswamy Naidu Avl
President

(1) Thiru S Somasundara (Vice President)
(2) -do- H Thummat Peda
(3) -do- H S Rangachetty
(4) -do- Malali Venkatachamiah
(5) -do- S Venkatachamiah
(6) -do- S Sadasivayya
(7) -do- H Varadarajulu Naidu (S.A.O & D.W)
(8) -do- P Shanmukha (Assistant Surgeon) 26/12/22
(9) -do- Malali Venkatachalam (26-12-1922)

(Absent members)

(1) Mr. R Thanniyappa (Municipal)
(2) -do- Annigeri (on leave)

Subject No (4) Read deputy Commissioner's memo No R.Dis 253-22/H-C dated 22-11-22 calling for opinion of the Municipal Councils to extend to these Councils the powers under Section (not to be known) of the Municipal Regulations — (75-6-81, 92, 120(2), 126 & 162)

Resolution — Resolved that Sections 75 to 88 and Sections 92, 120(2) 126 & 162 may be extended to the Municipal Councils also this Council considers that at present necessary powers at present to extend to the Municipal Councils the powers under Section 81 of the Municipal Regulations

× × ×

(Signed) B Narayanaswamy
President,
25-12-22

"Certified"

To 189 Mun Jan-33
095-03-a-03

Letter No.L.3560-47 dated 15th November 1932 from the Revenue Secretary to Government, to the Deputy Commissioner,-Hassan District.

With a view to remove the present difficulty experienced by them in the matter of collection of Octroi and prevention of nuisances the Srinivaspur Minor Municipal Council in the Kolar District request that the marginally noted sections of the Municipal Regulation may be extended to 75 to 81, 92 120(2),126 and 128. I am directed to request you to be so good as to report whether in your opinion it is necessary to extend the said sections in question to all Minor Municipal Councils generally or to particular Municipal Councils, and whether the requirements of these Councils will not be met by a set of simple Rules framed under Section 187 (3) of the Municipal Regulations.

I am to request that your reply may be sent so as to reach the Secretariat not later than the 10th December 1932.

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Hassan District,
Dated, Hassan, the 21st November 32.

No.R.O.C 233-33/M CM

2, No 189

MEMO

Copy forwarded to the Presidents of Municipal Councils noted below

P T C

To 189 23

[illegible]

[illegible] 22 // 22 [illegible]
R-ce 253-22/ [illegible]
[illegible] 75 [illegible]
92, 120(3), 126 & 162, [illegible]
[illegible] 6 [illegible]
[illegible] 10 [illegible]
[illegible]

1-12-1922 [illegible]

[illegible]

HASSAN DISTRICT
Dated 3-12-22
Serial No. 13984
Page No. 253
Section [illegible]

[illegible]
4-1-23

Ref 253 W/
Memo
1-1700-171
Seen and returned with the observation that delay should have been avoided & final reply sent within the date prescribed.

As the report is over is overdue the Person of the unit Commd may be furnished interim further by 9th

10 12 22

P Sanjeevanagouda Soto
for Dy Comm

Put up
Memo
W/
15/12

-33/H C
1 23
—139

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Hassan District,
Hotel, Hassan, the 30th December 1933

meetings

Sir, Please see this office No. 253-33/H.C. dated 13th November 1933 and subsequent reminder of the 23rd, calling for the opinion of the Municipal Council at once [illegible] extending the provisions of the

Office of the Deputy Commissioner
Hassan District,
Dated Hassan, the 16th December 1933.

To

The attention of the Residents or Municipal Councils [illegible] Holenarsipur is invited to this office R.O.No.253-33/H.C. dated November 1933 calling for opinion whether the extension or provisions tions 75 to 81, 92, 120(2), 186 and 192 of the Municipal Regulation Municipalities and they are requested to expedite the sub- or their reports in the matter without any further delay, as the to Government is already overdue by four days.

It is regretted that though the Presidents reports were due, in this on the 1st instant, none of the Municipal Councils has responded office reference to [illegible] delays must be guarded against in

P. Singaravelu Setty
For Deputy Commissioner,
Hassan District.

365-22/H C

2 1 23

7 NO 139

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Hassan District,
Hassan, the 30th December 1922

meeting

Dear Sir, Please see this office [illegible] No. 365-22/H C dated 13th November 1922 and subsequent reminder of the 16th December 1922, calling for the opinion of the Municipal councils in the matter of extending the provisions of sections 75 to 81, 93 130(2), 136(A) and 163 of the Municipal Regulation to the Minor Municipalities

2 Your report was expected in this office by the end of November, but it is to be very much regretted that it has not yet been received, and the reply to Govt has been delayed This is far from satisfactory

3 Kindly see that your report is sent up without further delay

Yours Sincerly,

P Sangamangala Setty

To

The President,
Municipal Council,
Saklespur

Dated 6-1-23
Amad No 16648
C No 253-22
Section L S & C

pp 6-1-23
to No 228 enclosed memo

A grade alongwith an extract of the Municipal meeting resolution of 25-12-22 on this matter has already been sent vide this No 210 dated 28-12-22 now an extract newly been prepared & sent for kind perusal

4-1-23

Minutes of the meeting
of the Taluk Board in connection with the
Council held on the 25th & 26th December

Present — Rao B Narayanaswamy Garu, BA, BL
President

(1) Rao S Somegowda (Vice President)
(2) do — H Thimmappaiah
(3) — do — H S Rangaswamy
(4) — do — Malali Venkataramaiah
(5) — do — S V Venkataramaiah
(6) — do — S Siddegowda
(7) — do — H V Varadachariyar (S.D.O. D.P.W.)
(8) — do — P Shama Rao (Asst. Surgeon) 26-12-22
(9) — do — M Malali Venkataiah (26-12-22)
(Absent members)

(1) Rao R Thimmaya Rao (Amildar)
(2) — do — Ameerjan (Circle)

Subject No (4) Read Memo No 253 22/H&C dated 22-11-22 calling for opinion of the Taluk Boards whether the Council be extended to these Councils the powers under Section (note at below) of the Municipal Regulation (75 to 81, 92, 120(2), 126 & 162)

Resolution — Resolved that Sections 75 to 80, and Sections 92, 120(2), 126 & 162 may be extended to the Taluk Municipal Councils also the Council considers that it is unnecessary just at present to extend to the Municipal Councils the powers under Section 81, the Municipal Regulation

× × × ×

(Signed) B Narayanaswamy
President
25-12-22

"Certified"
"Bangalore"

4303

597-VI

No H C 55/Rev 23-24 Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Encl one
Dated at , the 31st July 1923

From

A k Syed Taj Ieeran, Esq , I A ,
Deputy Commissioner,
Shimoga District

To

T E Jayarama Iyer, Esq , B A , B L ,
Secretary to Government,
Revenue Department,
Bangalore

No 67-11 —31

Sir,

With reference to correspondence ending with your letter No L D 5 dated the 5th July 1923 calling for opinion in the matter extending the provisions of the marginal sections of the Municipal Regulation to all the minor Municipal Councils especially, I have the honor to state that sections 75 to 91 relate to matters connected with Municipal revenue and as such a set of ~~suitable~~ simple rules suitable to the requirements of the several minor municipalities may be framed by Government under Section 187(3) of the Municipal Regulation and forwarded for adoption by the Municipal Councils I may be permitted to state in this connection that the conditions prevailing in the minor municipalities in the taluks are more or less the same and a set of rules so framed will in my opinion suit the local requirements The rules may if necessary be modified after obtaining some experience in their working if any practical difficulties are found in their application

Sections	75 to 91	}
"	92 and 120(2)	} to matters connected with Municipal revenue
"	126 and 162	}

2 As regards sections 92 and 120 (2) which relate to Sanitary matters the Sanitary Commissioner may be requested to frame suitable rules and circulate them for adoption after approval by Government In regard to section 162 (Distress lawful though defective in form) it may be observed that no bye-laws or rules are necessary

it is intended to protect the municipal executive in the exercise of their powers under the Municipal Regulation. For section 136 also, which relates to dangerous quarrying suitable rules may be framed by Government in consultation with Chief Inspector of ~~Municipality~~ Mines and forwarded for adoption by the Municipal Councils

3. A copy of the Office note on the subject containing a summary of the opinions of the several Municipal Presidents in the District is enclosed for information

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Deputy Commissioner,

Shimoga District

SGR/.

G R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT ...

Diary No of letter replied to 697

Section Mul
File No 69-22
Serial No. 99

General and Revenue Secretariat
( )
the *199*

No. L 6826

Date of issue...

Signed by ...

To Notification

Under Section 187 (1) of the Nagpore Municipal Regulation VII of 1906, as amended by Regulation V of 1918, Govt are pleased to direct that the provisions of the said Regulation noted below be made applicable to all municipal Councils which have been exempted from the operation of these provisions

Chapter of the Municipal Regulation		No of the Sections
VII	—	15 to 79
IX	—	120 clause 1

By order

Secy to Govt
P W D

Chief (6)
2/12

WDSSR GPD—1500—14-9-25

To

The Comptroller Mysore

Gazette for publication

~~Bhadrachalam~~ Gazette with the request

that 200 copies of the Notifn

may be supplied to this Office and 50 copies to each

~~for distribution to the~~

Dy Comrs of Distts and the Secretaries of the

Presidents of Municipal Commissions

Copy of the above is for-

warded to the Dy Comr Kolar

Distt with reference to his

letter No. Dis 80/21-22 dy 26th

Septr 1922 for information

By order

~~Asst~~ Supdt to Govt

with MS

27/9/22

Copy to the Press Rooms of Bangalore

and Mysore and to the Translation

Section

with MS

27/9

List of enclosures.

by

Examined by

G. R. S. 30]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

19 11

(Chungroo)

File No. 142-11

Serial Nos. 5 to 6

Papers Filed.

Month August 13

SUBJECT

Repairs to Sri Ananda Narayana Swami Temple at Kavaraa in the Chuttaungany Talook

PREVIOUS REFERENCES.

LATER REFERENCES.

G. F. 142-11
Nos. 1-4